Integrated analysis of the impact of long-range transport of midlatitude pollution on ozone abundances in the Arctic troposphere

T. Walker, M. Parrington, D. B. A. Jones	University of Toronto
D. K. Henze	University of Colorado at Boulder
J. R. Worden, K. W. Bowman	NASA Jet Propulsion Lab
J. Bottenheim, K. Anlauf, J. Davies, D. W. Tarasick	Environment Canada
A. M. Thompson	Pennsylvania State University

Thomas Walker University of Toronto

ARCIONS Data Workshop January 8, 2008

Tropospheric Ozone Chemistry



 O_3 is not emitted directly Produced through hydrocarbon oxidation in the presence of NO_x (NO + NO_2) Atmospheric lifetime (O_3): ~3 weeks Atmospheric lifetime (NO_x): ~1-2 days

Ozone in the Arctic reflects either direct transport of ozone from lower latitudes and the stratosphere or in situ production (driven by NO_x transported into the region as PAN)



Model Description and Data Sources

GEOS-Chem: global chemical transport model

- NO_x-HO_x-VOC chemistry
- dynamics driven by GEOS meteorological data
- results shown here are from v7-02-04, resolution 4°x5° horizontal,
 30 vertical levels
- tagged- O_x simulation permits regional source attribution
- adjoint permits detailed sensitivity analysis

Tropospheric Emission Spectrometer (TES):

- high resolution IR Fourier transform spectrometer
- 3.2 15.4 µm spectral range
- O_3 profiles assimilated into GEOS-Chem using suboptimal Kalman filter between 60°S 60°N

Ozonesondes:

- vertical profiles of ozone, temperature, pressure
- launched roughly weekly, intense campaigns in spring

Surface measurements at Alert:

- hourly average O₃
- measurements of PAN every half hour







Monthly mean O₃ profiles above Eureka

- high ozone values in free troposphere (eg. ~500 hPa) appear in model earlier than observed
- the model significantly underestimates ozone abundances in the middle and upper troposphere in summer



Model Simulation of Surface O₃: Agreement with Surface Data

- model reproduces seasonal cycle in surface ozone at Alert (2001)
- highest values in spring; lowest in summer
- slight overestimate in early spring; slight underestimate in fall
- depletion events due to halogen radical chemistry not represented in model



Model Simulation of Surface PAN: Agreement with Surface Data

- model reproduces seasonal cycle in surface PAN at Alert (2001)
- accumulation during winter
 leading to spring maximum; sharp
 drop to very low values in summer
- slight underestimate in spring
- seasonal cycle is apparent across the region, not just at Alert





Fractional O₃ Contribution from **Midlatitude Source Regions**

seasonal and vertical distributions of the fractional contribution to ozone profiles above Eureka (2005)

12

12

 in summer the dominant midlatitude contributions are from North America and Siberia

Satellite constraints on ozone transport into the Arctic

TES O_3 profiles are assimilated into GEOS-Chem equatorward of 60° between July 1 – Aug 31, 2006

- Parrington et al. [JGR, 2008] showed that over North America, the assimilation reduced the mean differences between the model and ozonesondes to less than 5% in the middle troposphere
- assimilation of TES O₃ increases concentrations across Northern mid-latitudes, with largest enhancements over Siberia and North America
- The assimilation provides an improved description of tropospheric O_3 at midlatitudes and thus an improved boundary condition for O_3 transport into the Arctic





Assimilation of O₃ at midlatitudes corrects high latitude bias

• assimilation of TES O₃ results in significant correction to free troposphere ozone at high latitude sites

mean bias between 400-500 hPa is reduced from +7.1 to -1.9 ppbv at Eureka, and from +11.5 to +1.9 ppbv at Ny Alesund

little change to boundary layer



Ozone fluxes into the Arctic

above Siberia

above North Atlantic

• monthly mean flux during July 2006

• change to flux due to assimilation is concentrated in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere

• monthly mean net northward flux across 60°N changes from 1900 to 9700 kg/s with the assimilation

 net downward flux across 300 hPa north of 60°N changes from 320 to 760 kg/s with the assimilation (not shown)

Summary of Results

• model reproduces surface ozone and PAN seasonal cycles, but underestimates free tropospheric ozone in the summer

• midlatitude continental source regions do contribute fractions of simulated ozone at Eureka, North American influence being dominant

• assimilation of ozone data from TES into GEOS-Chem equatorward of 60°N enhances midlatitude ozone abundances in the model, particularly over North America and Siberia, which reflects, in part, stratosphere-troposphere exchange captured by the TES measurements.

• improved midlatitude boundary conditions in the assimilation results in increase transport of ozone into the Arctic, mainly in the UTLS; the mean bias in ozone relative to ozonesondes decrease from +9.2 to -0.1 ppbv between 400-500 hPa over Eureka and Ny Alesund

• assimilation increases net horizontal flux across 60°N, and increases net downward flux in the Arctic

• what is the source of the increased flux? STE? tropospheric production?

Thanks to...

Funding:

University of Toronto Canadian Foundation for Climate and Atmospheric Sciences Centre for Global Change Science Walter C. Sumner Foundation







Environment Canada

Environnement Canada





Canadian Foundation for Climate and Atmospheric Sciences (CFCAS)

Fondation canadienne pour les sciences du climat et de l'atmosphère (FCSCA)





Source regions for tagged O_x simulation

Why Tropospheric Ozone in the Arctic?

1. RADIATIVE IMPACT

- direct greenhouse gas
- affects atmospheric lifetime of other greenhouse gases
- expected to increase
- 2. SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENT
- largest temperature trends are observed at high latitudes
- 3. AIR QUALITY
- constituent of photochemical smog and of "Arctic haze"



from IPCC AR4, 2007

Ozone Production



<u>hydrocarbon-limited case:</u> termination reaction $HO_2 + HO_2 \rightarrow H_2O_2 + O_2$ (R6) yielding $P(O_3) = 2k_4 \{P(HO_x)/k_6\}^{1/2} [NO]$ $\frac{NO_{x}-limited \ case:}{termination \ reaction}$ $HO_{2} + NO + M \rightarrow HNO_{3} + M \qquad (R7)$ yielding $P(O_{3}) = \frac{2k_{1}P(HO_{x})[RH]}{k_{7}[NO_{2}][M]}$

Adjoint model

Consider a linear expansion about a background set of inputs x₀:

$$y = M(x) = M(x_o) + \frac{\partial M}{\partial x}(x - x_o)$$

where $\frac{\partial M}{\partial x}$ is the tangent linear model (TLM).

Given a small perturbation Δx , the TLM can calculate the perturbation to the state Δy :

$$\Delta y = TLM(\Delta x)$$

Likewise, given the perturbed state, the adjoint model (transpose of TLM) calculates the required change in inputs

$$\Delta x = TLM^T(\Delta y)$$

Example: Δy could be the difference between simulated and observed ozone and Δx could be the required change in NO_x emissions to obtain that perturbed state

References

Parrington, M. et al. [2008], Estimating the summertime tropospheric ozone distribution over North America through assimilation of observations from the Tropospheric Emission Spectrometer. JGR, 113 (D18307), doi:10.1029/2007JD009341.